

Robert G. Sullivan Nicholas Papain Michael N. Block Christopher T. McGrath Vito A. Cannavo John F. Nash Frank V. Floriani Eleni Coffinas David J. Dean Hugh M. Turk

Albert B. Aquila Brian J. Shoot Mary Anne Walling Eric K. Schwarz Elizabeth Montesano Deanne M. Caputo Liza A. Milgrim Thomas J. McManus Glenn W. Nick Mark A. Apostolos

120 BROADWAY • NEW YORK • NEW YORK 10271

TELEPHONE: (212) 732-9000 FACSIMILE: (212) 266-4141 SPBMC-NY@TrialLaw1.com TrialLaw1.com

PLEASE REPLY TO:

New York City Office

Ina Pecani Jessica P. Denninger Christopher J. DelliCarpini Michael P. Napolitano Marianne C. Burke Paul Bitetti Ana-Marija Turkovic

Gina M. Carbone NallyAnn Scaturro Jacqueline P. Lasker Joseph I. Rozovsky

Hon. Joseph N. Giamboi (1925-2018) Stephen C. Glasser

Paul F. Oliveri Of Counsel

John M. Tomsky Craig M. Silverman Mary Tierney James Wilkens Beth N. Jablon Counsel to the Firm

> Author's E-Mail Address: ffloriani@triallaw1.com Direct Telephone Line: (212) 732-9000

February 9, 2023

The Honorable P. Kevin Castel, U.S.D.J. Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse Courtroom 11D 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

> Interceptor Ignition Interlocks, Inc. v. AT&T Mobility Services LLC Re:

Case No.: 1:18-cv-4289-PKC-GWG

Sir:

This firm represents plaintiff, Interceptor Ignition Interlocks, Inc., ("Interceptor") in the above action assigned to the Court.

This letter is sent in response to the Court's order of November 1, 2022 (ECF Doc. No. 62), requesting a status report on the arbitration of the above matter.

The Arbitrator held a three-day evidentiary hearing in this matter in June 2022 on the issue of arbitrability. After post-hearing briefing and oral argument, the Arbitrator by decision, dated January 6, 2023, ruled that the dispute between Interceptor and AT&T must be resolved through arbitration.

In accordance with the Arbitrator's instruction, the parties are now in the process of drafting a joint proposed case management order to schedule all remaining arbitration proceedings, including merits discovery, motions and a merits hearing, for the purpose of resolving issues related to the merits of Interceptor's claims and AT&T's counterclaims. The parties expect these proceedings to continue throughout the 2023 calendar year, and potentially into early 2024.

If the Court needs any other information I will, of course, provide it.

Respectfully submitted,

SULLIVAN PAPAIN BLOCK McGRATH COFFINAS & CANNAVO P.C.

By:

Frank V. Floriani

FVF:dv (110949)

cc: Faegre Drinker & Reath, LLP Attention: Mathew J. Fedor, Esq.